



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2003

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/079,309 | 02/21/2002 | Gary John Corey | | 9106 |
| 75 | 90 06/10/2003 | | | |
| Mr. Gary John Corey | | | EXAMINER | |
| 21445 Bundy C Wildomar, CA | | | PALADINI, ALBERT WILLIAM | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2125 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ** · * | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| a) | 10/079,309 | COREY, GARY | COREY, GARY JOHN | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| · | Albert W Paladini | 2125 | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | appears on the cover shee | et with the correspondence ac | ddress | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per | N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma reply within the statutory minimum o | ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered time | | |
| Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the management term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | |
| Status | | · . | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on (| <u>08 May 2003</u> . | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . ` 2b)□ | This action is non-final. | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und Disposition of Claims | | | ne ments is | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application | on. | • | , | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without | drawn from consideration. | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. | | · . | Substitution of the Section of the S | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | ************************************** | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an | d/or election requirement. | | \$ 4 · /- | |
| Application Papers | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | | • | |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam | iner. | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a | ccepted or b) objected to | by the Examiner. | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to | the drawing(s) be held in a | beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a)□ approved b)[| disapproved by the Examir | ner. | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in | reply to this Office action. | and Andrews | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. | | 1 | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | ut Pa. Pati | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore | eign priority under 35 U.S | .C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | ± | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docum | ents have been received. | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docum | ents have been received | in Application No.: | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a | Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a | a)). | Stage | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome | · | i de la companya de | al application) | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom | provisional application ha | s been received. | п арриовиоту. | |
| Attachment(s) | como priority under do O.C | 5.5. 33 125 and/of 121. | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Notes | 5) 🔲 Notice | riew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No e of Informal Patent Application (PT : | | |
| J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office | Action Summary | Part of Paper No. 4 | , | |

9.



Application/Control Number: 10/079,309

Art Unit: 2125

1. The substitute specification filed on 5/8/03 has not been entered because it does not conform to 37 CFR 1.125(b)because: the statement as to lack of new matter under 37 CFR 1.125(b) is missing.

The amendment to the claims filed on 5/8/03 does not comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121(c) because 4 new claims were added and no claims cancelled, and the amendment did not conform to 37 CFR 1.121(c) of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure. Amendments to the claims filed after March 1, 2001 must comply with 37 CFR 1.121(c) which states:

- (c) Claims.
- (1) <u>Amendment by rewriting, directions to cancel or add</u>: Amendments to a claim must be made by rewriting such claim with all changes (e.g., additions, deletions, modifications) included. The rewriting of a claim (with the same number) will be construed as directing the cancellation of the previous version of that claim. A claim may also be canceled by an instruction.
- (i) A rewritten or newly added claim must be in clean form, that is, without markings to indicate the changes that have been made. A parenthetical expression should follow the claim number indicating the status of the claim as amended or newly added (e.g., "amended," "twice amended," or "new"). (ii) If a claim is amended by rewriting such claim with the same number, the amendment must be accompanied by another version of the rewritten claim, on one or more pages separate from the amendment, marked up to show all the changes relative to the previous version of that claim. A parenthetical expression should follow the claim number indicating the status of the claim, e.g., "amended," "twice amended," etc. The parenthetical expression "amended," "twice amended," etc. should be the same for both the clean version of the claim under paragraph (c)(1)(i) of this section and the marked up version under this paragraph. The changes may be shown by brackets (for deleted matter) or underlining (for added matter), or by any equivalent marking system. A marked up version does not have to be supplied for an added claim or a canceled claim as it is sufficient to state that a particular claim has been added, or canceled.
- (2) A claim canceled by amendment (deleted in its entirety) may be reinstated only by a subsequent amendment presenting the claim as a new claim with a new claim number.
- 2. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

3. For the Applicant's information and benefit for future filings, the examiner performed an unofficial review of the new claims, which were not entered. The claims are incomplete in that they do not comprise either a set of elements, which operate together to perform a function, or they do not provide a set of process steps enabling a function to be performed. The preamble to claim 1 recites a number of capabilities of the "technology element," but the body of the claim does not support any of these capabilities. The body of the claim merely states that the user provides an input for preferences, and somehow the tool meets all the objectives. It would be analogous to stating that a user prepares a wish list, or a written list of requirements, and submits it to a machine, and then the machine performs all of the necessary functions to meet the user's requirements. The claims must demonstrate how the elements operate together to perform the function. The claims are incomplete for omitting essential elements or

Art Unit: 2125

structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be direct to Albert W. Paladini whose telephone number is (703) 308-2005. The examiner can normally be reached from 7:30 to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Leo P. Picard, can be reached on (703) 308-0538. The official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 746-7239, and after final faxes should be directed to (703) 746-7238.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

June 6, 2003

Albert W. Paladini Primary Examiner Art Unit 2125

aloth Palm.